

## 2016-23RLI Evaluation Form

**Project:** NPU Wastewater Hydraulic Model

**RLI No.:** 2017-03

**Date of Ranking:** 11/9/2016

### Hazen and Sawyer - No Response

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>RN</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>AD</i>	<i>Score</i>
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-5						<b>0</b>
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-5						<b>0</b>
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5						<b>0</b>
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5						<b>0</b>
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-5						<b>0</b>
Quality of Proposal	0-5						<b>0</b>
References	0-5						<b>0</b>
		0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>

### Stantec Consulting - No Response

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>RN</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>AD</i>	<i>Score</i>
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-5						<b>0</b>
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-5						<b>0</b>
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5						<b>0</b>
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5						<b>0</b>
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-5						<b>0</b>
Quality of Proposal	0-5						<b>0</b>
References	0-5						<b>0</b>
		0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>

### CDM Smith

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>RN</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>AD</i>	<i>Score</i>
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-5	5	4	4	4	4	<b>21</b>
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-5	4	4	4	5	5	<b>22</b>
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	4	4	4	5	5	<b>22</b>
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-5	4	4	3	5	5	<b>21</b>
Quality of Proposal		4	4	4	5	5	<b>22</b>
References	0-5	5	4	3	4	4	<b>20</b>
		26	24	22	28	28	<b>128</b>

### TKW

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>RN</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>AD</i>	<i>Score</i>
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-5	4	4	3	4	5	<b>20</b>
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-5	5	4	4	4	4	<b>21</b>
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	4	4	4	5	5	<b>22</b>
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-5	5	3	3	2	2	<b>15</b>
Quality of Proposal	0-5	4	4	4	4	4	<b>20</b>
References	0-5	5	3	5	2	1	<b>16</b>
		27	22	23	21	21	<b>114</b>