Code of the City of North Port Chapter 2

ARTICLE III. - QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS[1]

Sec. 2-79. - Intent.

It is the intent of the city commission to provide an equitable and efficient method for the commission, as well as certain city boards, committees, and authorities, to hear matters which are considered quasi-judicial in nature. These procedures shall be utilized by the city in regard to hearings on quasi-judicial matters.

(Ord. No. 2015-30, § 1, 7-27-2015)

Sec. 2-80. - Definitions.

For the purpose of this section, the following definitions shall apply unless the context clearly indicates or requires a different meaning.

Aggrieved or adversely affected person. Any person who will suffer a negative effect to a protected interest as a result of the quasi-judicial action sought by the applicant. The alleged adverse interest may be shared in common with other members of the community at large, but shall exceed in degree the general interest in the community.

Applicant. Any person, corporation or other legal entity who files with the department responsible for land development an application for an action which has been determined by city staff to be quasi-judicial in nature as reflected on the agenda and within the agenda packet for that commission meeting at which said matter will be heard.

Clerk. For any proceeding before the city commission, the clerk is the city clerk. For any other board, the clerk will be the clerk or secretary designated for the respective board, committee, agency, authority, or special magistrate.

Competent substantial evidence. Evidence a reasonable mind could accept as adequate to support a conclusion.

Ex parte communication. Any verbal or written expression made to a local public official which is outside of the presence of all interested parties and is not made during a public hearing or meeting to consider matters involving the exercise of quasi-judicial decision making.

Local public official. Any elected or appointed public official of the City of North Port who recommends or takes quasi-judicial action as a member of a quasi-judicial body.

Party or parties. The applicant, the city, and any aggrieved or adversely affected person who has complied with the notice provisions set forth in section 2-81 below.

Presider. For the city commission, the mayor or, in his/her absence, the vice-mayor. For any other quasi-judicial body, the chair or, in his/her absence, the vice chair.

Quasi-judicial body. The city commission sitting in its quasi-judicial capacity, or any other city board, committee, authority or special magistrate sitting in its quasi-judicial capacity.

Quasi-judicial in nature. The application of a general rule or policy to specific individuals, interests, or activities by the quasi-judicial body, as more specifically set forth in section 2-82 below.

Sec. 2-81. - Notice procedures for aggrieved or adversely affected persons.

- (a) Any aggrieved or adversely affected person desiring to become a party in the quasi-judicial proceeding shall provide written notice to the clerk which notice shall, at a minimum, set forth the aggrieved or affected person's name, address, and telephone number, indicate how the aggrieved or affected person qualifies as an aggrieved or affected person and indicate whether the aggrieved or affected person is in favor of or opposed to the requested quasi-judicial action. The filing of notice with the clerk shall serve as notice to the parties of the aggrieved or affected person's intent to appear at the proceeding to testify, present evidence, bring forth witnesses, and cross-examine witnesses. The required notice must be received by the clerk no later than five business days at the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., before the hearing.
- (b) The written notice in subsection (a) above, filed with the clerk of a quasi-judicial body other than city commission for a quasi-judicial matter that will procedurally be forwarded to the city commission for consideration shall also serve as the notice for the aggrieved or affected person to appear at the proceeding held by the city commission on the same quasi-judicial matter.

(Ord. No. 2015-30, § 1, 7-27-2015)

Sec. 2-82. - Quasi-judicial matters.

- (a) Describes the actions of public officials who are required to investigate facts, or ascertain the existence of facts, hold hearings, weigh evidence and draw conclusions from such facts, as a basis for their official action, and to exercise discretion of a judicial nature and any other decision involving the implementation, rather than formation, of city policy. Aggrieved or adversely affected persons are entitled to a fair and impartial hearing, notice of the hearing, and an opportunity to be heard. Quasi-judicial matters include, but may not necessarily be limited to, the following:
 - (1) Site specific rezoning of land which rezoning will have an impact on a limited number of persons or property owners where the decision is contingent on fact(s) arrived at from distinct alternatives presented at a hearing and where the decision can be functionally viewed as policy application rather than policy setting;
 - (2) Applications for special exceptions or amendments thereto;
 - (3) Hearings on code enforcement violations by the code enforcement board or special magistrate for code enforcement;
 - (4) Applications for preliminary and final plats;
 - (5) Variances from the application of the land development code;
 - (6) Appeals from an administrative determination by the director of neighborhood development services or any city official interpreting the provisions of the land development code; and
 - (7) Hearings on applications for disability or service retirements before the board of trustees of the North Port Police Officers and Firefighters Pension Fund.
 - (8) Vacation of easements.

(b) For all quasi-judicial matters which require more than one reading, the first reading shall constitute the quasi-judicial proceeding. Once a decision is rendered to grant or grant with conditions the relief sought by the applicant, then the second reading shall be procedural in nature with the quasi-judicial body ratifying and affirming its prior decision. If new evidence is introduced which, if brought to the attention of the quasi-judicial body at the first reading, would have had a material impact on its decision, the quasi-judicial body may reopen the quasi-judicial hearing. If the quasi-judicial body reopens the quasi-judicial hearing, it shall be scheduled for a date and time certain and provide notice to all parties.

(Ord. No. 2015-30, § 1, 7-27-2015)

Sec. 2-83. - Procedures for quasi-judicial proceedings.

- (a) The following is a guideline for conducting quasi-judicial hearings:
 - (1) All testimony shall be under a sworn oath to tell the truth. The oath shall be contained on a speaker's card. All persons wishing to speak on a quasi-judicial item shall submit a speaker's card to the clerk on duty.
 - (2) The presider shall call the cases on the agenda but shall not be bound by the order in which the cases appear on the agenda.
 - (3) The presider will introduce the case and, if appropriate, defer to the city manager (or designee) or city attorney (or board counsel) for either an explanation of the matter or the reading of the ordinance or resolution caption.
 - (4) The presider shall have the option of determining the order to expedite the proceedings. However, all parties shall be provided the opportunity to present their case. In the event the quasi-judicial matter constitutes a public hearing, the general order of the presentation of evidence shall be as follows:
 - a. The applicant, having the burden of persuasion and the burden of proof, shall proceed to present its case first;
 - b. City staff shall then present the opinion of the city or any other relevant information necessary for the quasi-judicial body's consideration.
 - c. Any aggrieved or adversely affected person who has complied with the notice requirement set forth in section 2-81 shall be heard;
 - d. Any other person who has taken an oath upon statement of his/her name and address for the record shall be heard;
 - e. Closing argument shall then be given by the applicant.
 - (5) Any natural person or party may represent himself/herself or may be represented by an attorney. The statements or arguments of the attorney are not considered evidence. The attorney need not be sworn.
 - a. In the event any party (other than a corporation or the city) chooses to be represented by a non-attorney, such party shall file a written, notarized power of attorney with the clerk at least five calendar days prior to the hearing stating that the person appearing has the full power and authority to act on behalf of the party in the matter.

- b. A corporation may appear through an officer of the corporation provided the officer is listed as a current officer of an active corporation with the secretary of state and identifies himself/herself in that corporate capacity.
- (6) The parties may:
 - a. Call and examine witnesses;
 - b. Introduce exhibits;
 - c. Cross-examine opposing witnesses;
 - d. Impeach witnesses; and
 - e. Rebut evidence.
- (7) The quasi-judicial body shall have the authority to ask questions of anyone present at the proceedings prior to closing the public hearing and commencing deliberations. If during the deliberations, a question arises which the quasi-judicial body desires to ask, it shall reopen the public hearing, pose the question and allow both the applicant and the city the opportunity to respond to the question posed prior to closing the public hearing again and resuming deliberations.
- (8) Neither the Federal Rules of Evidence nor the Florida Evidence Code shall apply, but fundamental due process shall be observed and govern said proceedings at all times.
- (9) All relevant evidence shall be admitted. The quasi-judicial body may exclude irrelevant, immaterial, or unduly repetitious evidence.
- (10) Hearsay evidence may be accepted for the purpose of either supplementing or explaining any direct evidence, provided it is not offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but such hearsay evidence shall not, in and of itself, be considered sufficient to support a finding or decision unless the evidence would be admissible over objections in any civil litigation proceeding in a state or federal court in Florida.
- (11) If, after notice of hearing, a party does not appear, the hearing may be conducted, and an order entered, in the absence of the party.
- (12) The quasi-judicial body shall have the authority to issue any and all orders to afford the proper relief, and this authority shall include the authority to grant continuances to a date-certain upon good cause shown.
- (13) At the conclusion of the presentation of the evidence and the taking of testimony, the quasi-judicial body shall close the public hearing and proceed to deliberate. The quasi-judicial body shall endeavor to make a determination based upon competent and substantial evidence.
- (14) At the conclusion of the hearing, if and only if relief is denied to the applicant, a subsequent written order shall be issued to the applicant by the quasi-judicial body's legal counsel setting forth the reasons therefor.
- (15) The clerk shall retain all of the evidence and documents submitted into evidence at the hearing. This shall include the official file in the matter, as well as any document presented at the hearing or demonstrative exhibit seen by the quasi-judicial body while making its decision. Nothing herein shall be deemed to prohibit any party from providing a court

reporter for the proceedings. Any party wishing to appeal the decision of a quasi-judicial body shall have the responsibility to ensure compliance with F.S. § 286.0105.

(Ord. No. 2015-30, § 1, 7-27-2015)

Sec. 2-84. - Ex parte communication.

- (a) Ex parte communications, a person not otherwise prohibited by statute, charter provision or ordinance may discuss with any local public official the merits of any matter on which action may be taken by any board or commission on which the local public official is a member, provided that the provisions of this article are followed.
- (b) The following process is hereby established for ex parte communications with any local public official:
 - (1) Disclosure. Pursuant to F.S. § 286.0115, the substance of any ex parte communication with a local public official which relates to quasi-judicial action pending before the official is not presumed to be prejudicial to the action if the subject of the communication and the identity of the person, group or entity with whom the communication took place is disclosed and made a part of the record before final action on the matter.
 - (2) Written communications. A local public official may read a written communication from any person. However, a written communication that relates to quasi-judicial action pending before a local public official shall not be presumed prejudicial to the action, and such written communication shall be made a part of the record before final action on the matter.
 - (3) Investigations and site visits. Local public officials may conduct investigations and site visits and may receive expert opinions regarding quasi-judicial action pending before them. Such activities shall not be presumed prejudicial to the action if the existence of the investigation, site visit or expert opinion is made a part of the record before final action on the matter.
 - (4) Disclosure made pursuant to subsections (1)—(3) must be before or during the public meeting at which a vote is taken on such matters, so that persons who have opinions contrary to those expressed in the ex parte communication are given a reasonable opportunity to refute or respond to the communication.

(Ord. No. 2015-30, § 1, 7-27-2015)

Secs. 2-85—2-109. - Reserved.

Footnotes: --- (1) ---

Editor's note—Ord. No. 2015-30, § 1, adopted July 27, 2015, repealed the former article III, §§ 2-79—2-83, and enacted a new article III as set out herein. The former article III pertained to ex parte communications and derived from Code 1990, §§ 115-1—115-5.

State Law reference— Ex parte communications, F.S. § 286.0115.