

2020-58 RLI Evaluation Form

Project:	FY21 WBR - Haberland-Woodhaven-N Toldeo Blade-Ortiz
RLI No.:	2021-01
Date of Ranking:	11/23/2020

Black & Vetch

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	6	6	6	7	25	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	6	6	6	7	25	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	4	5	3	5	17	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	5	5	4	5	19	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	2	2	2	2	8	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	2	11	
		26	27	24	28	0	105

CDM Smith

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	6	6	6	5	23	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	7	6	6	7	26	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	5	5	3	4	17	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	4	5	4	5	18	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	3	3	2	2	10	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	3	12	
		28	28	24	26	0	106

Kimley-Horn

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	6	7	6	5	24	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	6	7	5	6	24	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	2	4	4	5	15	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	5	4	3	4	16	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	2	2	1	0	5	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	2	11	
		24	27	22	22	0	95

Giffels-Webster

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	5	7	4	6	23	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	6	7	6	6	25	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	4	5	3	4	16	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	4	5	4	4	17	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	2	1	1	1	5	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	2	11	
		24	28	21	23	0	96

Infrastructure Solutions Services

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	6	6	6	7	25	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	7	6	6	7	26	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	5	5	5	5	20	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	5	5	4	3	17	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	3	2	2	3	10	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	2	11	
		29	27	26	27	0	109

Jones Edmunds

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	6	5	6	6	23	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	6	6	6	7	25	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	5	4	3	4	16	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	5	5	4	4	18	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	3	2	2	2	9	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	3	12	
		28	25	24	26	0	103

Reiss Engineering

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	6	6	5	6	23	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	6	6	6	7	25	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	5	5	5	4	19	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	5	5	3	4	17	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	3	2	2	2	9	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	3	12	
		28	27	24	26	0	105

Stantec

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>JF</i>	<i>MA</i>	<i>JD</i>	<i>MV</i>	<i>Score</i>	
Understanding of Project/Deliverables	0-7	7	7	6	7	27	
Expertise/Qualifications of Personnel	0-7	7	7	6	7	27	
Availability of Personnel/Timeline	0-5	4	5	2	4	15	
Evaluations/Experience on NPU projects	0-5	5	5	3	5	18	
Proposed Cost Saving Measures	0-3	3	2	1	0	6	
References/Required Forms	0-3	3	3	3	2	11	
		29	29	21	25	0	104