

City of North Port, Florida Noise regulations to exempt approved planned developments from the noise regulations as it pertains to pumps, air-conditioning or air handling equipment.

Interim City Manager Lear and Planning Division Manager Norton provided an overview of the Ordinance.

Discussion and questions ensued: (1) it was stated that any modifications that are made will come back to the Commission for a first reading; (2) subsequent to a question, it was noted that this action is stemming from a code enforcement complaint in a deed restricted community; (3) the reading for decibel levels is done from the property line; (4) the Code that is being used is solid because it has quantifiable noise levels; (5) staff would like to exempt deed restricted communities while still making this Code enforceable for the rest of North Port; (6) HOA and deed restricted communities have their own rules that are layered on top of the minimum rules that North Port requires; (7) it was stated that if an HOA or deed restriction has an issue that is "silent" the Code of North Port will always apply and be enforceable; (8) a CDD through the Florida Statute is its own government and an HOA is not; (9) Assistant City Manager Schult stated that by providing this exemption it is forcing the HOA and deed restricted communities to deal with this issue and code enforcement does not have to get involved; (10) this is a city administrative code, it is not a building code or a ULDC code; (11) there was a suggestion made for staff to review the remaining parts of this code because it is very old; (12) this Code will not affect residential two family zoning districts; (13) Duplexes and Villas share an internal wall and this Code pertains to the set backs on the property lines. There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Vice-Mayor Carusone, seconded by Commissioner Hanks, to direct staff to bring forward the ordinance to amend the code, Chapter 46 for the noise ordinance amendments as presented. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes: 4 - Mayor Yates, Vice-Mayor Carusone, Commissioner Hanks and Commissioner McDowell

A motion was made by Vice-Mayor Carusone, seconded by Commissioner McDowell, to direct staff to review in its totality Chapter 46, Article 2, Division 2, the noise code. The motion carried with the following vote:

Yes: 4 - Mayor Yates, Vice-Mayor Carusone, Commissioner Hanks and Commissioner McDowell

D. 17-0763

Discussion, and possible action regarding amending the City of North Port Administrative Code to repeal in its entirety Chapter 70, Article III – Street Naming and Property Numbering and replacing with a new Chapter 70, Article III – Property Numbering, Naming, Renaming and Honorary Naming of Streets.

Interim City Manager Lear and Zoning Coordinator Willette-Gronin provided a Power Point presentation.

Discussion and questions ensued: (1) it was stated that some counties do not have honorary street names to avoid confusion in an emergency; (2) it was stated that land marks may not be necessary because a lot is done by GPS; (3) subsequent to a question, a Savings Clause was explained that it is preserving the Code from any conflict; (4) it was noted that the City Attorney will research where the Savings Clause



came from; (5) it was asked that modular homes be added to the definition of a Building; (6) it was suggested that the definition of Building, Principal be re-worded so it's less confusing; (7) it was noted that some of these definitions may be defined through HUD and can't be re-worded, staff will check into this; (8) it was noted that definition of street is referring to vehicle travel; (9) it was explained that Section 70-58 has chart that outlines how street suffixes are assigned; (10) it was suggested in Section 70-55 to specify that addressing will be assigned to the principal building; (11) subsequent to a question, it was confirmed that all renaming and honorary naming of streets will be approved by the Commission; (12) it was recommended in Section 70-55 to have "street, road, or highway" changed to "roadway"; (13) it was stated that the language in Section 70-56 (c) is confusing because of the east/west intersect with Price Blvd and should be re-worded; (14) it was suggested that Section 70-56 (e) be stricken because the language is already present in Section 40-57 (a); (15) it was noted that the City's grid map is being updated; (16) following a concern, it was noted that if any additional property was annexed only the corresponding Section would need to be amended not the entire Ordinance; (17) it was explained that -Section 70-56 (g) 1-5 are being deleted because it is not relevant to addressing as it is done now; (a) removing this part will not affect any existing addresses; (18) the methodology for creating addresses was explained as taking the number of parcels on a roadway and dividing them equally and separating even from odds; (19) if there are multiple lots that need to have an address you use the lot with the principle structure with the primary access; (20) it was noted that within shopping centers, each unit is addressed separately; (21) a vacant lot does not have an address, it has a parcel id number and mail is sent to the address of the registered owner; (22) an address for a vacant lot is not assigned until a principle structure has been constructed; (23) it was recommended in Section 70-57 (g) (1) to take out the word "unless" and replace it with "if" in regards to a nonresidential farm building; (24) a lift station, bridge, or tower structure on a property will be given an address number for identification purposes only; (25) subsequent to a concern, Fire Chief Taafe confirmed that they know what side of the street an address is by the number; (26) it was suggested to review Section 70-57 (h) (5) and (7) regarding residential and commercial residential units; (27) it was noted that you cannot change the name of a street unless it is a life safety issue; (28) it was suggested to remove "renaming" from Section 70-58 (b); (29) it was recommended to move Section 70-58 (b) (7) into Section 70-57; (30) it was noted that all street names are held in a reserve for one year once submitted by the developer; (31) it was suggested in Section 70-58 (e) to remove "and existing streets"; (32) it was recommended that some clarification is provided in Section 70-58 that the City will only provide street signs for accepted street names; (33) it was explained that description of Avenue and Street are correctly worded in this Ordinance, the language in the previous Ordinance was incorrect; (34) it was suggested merging Section 70-59 into Section 70-57; (35) it was noted that Section 70-59 (b) allows for correction of address numbers that were incorrectly issued; (36) a recommendation was made in Section 70-59 (b) to change "or" to "and" allowing for notification of address change to be sent to owner and occupant; (37) it was suggested in Section 70-60 to add language that citizens cannot request a street name change; (38) it was stated that there are several ways to honor people in the City without renaming streets; (39) there is an ad hoc Naming Committee for these projects; (40) there was a recommendation to remove Section 70-64 in its entirety to avoid any confusion in an emergency. There was no public comment.

There was a consensus to remove Section 70-64 in its entirety.

There was a consensus for staff to move forward with putting the Ordinance together considering all clarification discussed.

G. 17-0936

Discussion and possible action regarding appointment of two Members to the Parks & Recreation Advisory Board.

City Clerk Adkins provided a brief overview.